

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/452,285	11/30/1999	BRIAN LO BUE	CISCO-1515	1104
49715 7590 11/28/2007 CISCO - THELEN REID BROWN RAYSMAN & STEINER LLP P.O. BOX 640640			EXAMINER	
			DINH, KHANH Q	
SAN JOSE, CA 95164-0640			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2151	
		·	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/28/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/452,285	BUE ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Khanh Dinh	2151			
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet wit	th the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory peri - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the ma earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 1.1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re iod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT atute, cause the application to become ABA	CATION. Sply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10) September 2007.				
·—	·				
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	•	•			
closed in accordance with the practice unde	er Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) <u>1-4,9-24,26,30-32,52,63-85 and 87</u>	7-91 is/are pending in the app	lication.			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd	Irawn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6) Claim(s) <u>1-4, 9-24, 26, 30-32, 52, 63-85 and</u>	<u>d 87-91</u> is/are rejected.	·			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	a/or election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a	accepted or b) objected to b	by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to t	he drawing(s) be held in abeyand	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr					
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	ign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).			
1. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received in Ar	oplication No			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the p	riority documents have been	received in this National Stage			
application from the International Bur	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a l	iist of the certified copies not r	received.			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		ummary (PTO-413))/Mail Date			
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 	5) 🔲 Notice of In	formal Patent Application			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)	<u>_</u> .			

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 9/10/2007 has been entered. Claims 1-4, 9-24, 26, 30-32, 52, 63-85 and 87-91 are presented for examination.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out

Art Unit: 2151

the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

3. Claims 1-4, 9-23, 26, 30-31, 52, 63-85 and 87-91 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dixon et al., (hereafter Dixon) US pat. No. 6,058,424 in view of Lamarque et al., (hereafter Lamarque), U.S. pat. No.6,690,651.

As to claim 1, Dixon discloses a backup server (new application server for taking over data as the original application server fails) for enabling a data communications network to recover from a failure of said local server (original application server), the data communications network including a local server and a network access server NAS (111 fig.1), the NAS capable of coupling a request placed from a user (client 101 fig.1) to the data communication network and providing a network connection to the local server, the NAS including a memory, said local server comprising:

an information packet receiver responsive to the local server failure, the information packet receiver receiving from the memory associated with the NAS an information packet associated with a user request placed by the user via the NAS, wherein the information packet containing call information for maintaining connection of the ongoing call if the local server fails (taking over session as the original server fails, see abstract, figs.1, 5, col.3 lines 11-44 and col.7 line 53 to col.8 line 51 and col.9 line 46 to col.10 line 17);

the NAS capable of coupling a call placed from the call-in user to the data communications network and providing a network connection the local server (the control server

sends a callback to the original application server to indicate that the session takeover has started, see col.10 lines 4-36);

a parser for reconstructing the call information data from said information data from the information data packet, whereby the server maintains the user request to the communications network (reconstructing information regarding all necessary session resources, see col.10 lines 18-36).

Dixon does not specifically disclose that user placing a request by calling in. However, Lamarque discloses a user placing a request by calling in [using a user (122 fig.1) to initiate a call at a terminal to communicate with the servers and networks, see fig.1, col.3 line 22 to col.4 line 24]. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize Lamarque's teachings into the computer system of Dixon to request data information through a network because it would have enabled user to bypass long distance carriers and their permanent usage rates and to run voice traffic over the Internet (see Lamarque's col.1 lines 24-67).

As to claims 2 and 3, Dixon discloses the call information including server attribute having an attribute/value pair that can be parsed into a plurality of separate data entries and a plurality of aggregated data elements from a call attribute table (see col.10 line 42 to col.11 line 54).

As to claim 4, Dixon discloses plurality of aggregated data elements of said information packet are separated by said parser for reconstructing said plurality of SSA information data from said information packet table (see col.8 lines 10-63 and col.10 line 42 to col.11 line 54).

As to claims 9, Dixon discloses a local server for enabling a data communications network, the system comprising:

an encoder for generating an information packet associated with the request, information packet containing request information for maintaining connection of the request fails (taking over session as the original server fails, see abstract, figs.1, 5, col.3 lines 11-44 and col.7 line 53 to col.8 line 51 and col.9 line 46 to col.10 line 17);

the NAS capable of coupling a call placed from the call-in user to the data communications network and providing a network connection the local server (the control server sends a callback to the original application server to indicate that the session takeover has started, see col.10 lines 4-36);

the NAS capable of coupling a call placed from the call-in user to the data communications network and providing a network connection the local server (the control server sends a callback to the original application server to indicate that the session takeover has started, see col.10 lines 4-36);

a sender for transmitting the information packet from the encoder to the memory, the information packet being stored in the memory to be available to the backup server if the local server fails (reconstructing information regarding all necessary session resources, see col.10 lines 18-36).

Dixon does not specifically disclose that user placing a request by calling in. However,

Lamarque discloses a user placing a request by calling in (using a user (122 fig.1) to initiate a

call at a terminal to communicate with the servers and networks, see fig.1, col.3 line 22 to col.4

Art Unit: 2151

line 24). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize Lamarque's teachings into the computer system of Dixon to request data information through a network because it would have enabled user to bypass long distance carriers and their permanent usage rates and to run voice traffic over the Internet (see Lamarque's col.1 lines 24-67).

Claims 10-12 are rejected for the same reasons set forth in claims 2-4 respectively.

As to claims 13 and 17, Dixon discloses a local server for maintaining a call placed by a user to a data communications network, the system comprising:

a memory (inherent of a server) associated with the NAS, the NAS capable of coupling a call placed from the call-in user to the data communications network and providing a network connection the local server (the control server sends a callback to the original application server to indicate that the session takeover has started, see col.10 lines 4-36);

an encoder for generating an information packet associated with the request, information packet containing request information for maintaining connection of the request and a sender for transmitting the information packet from the encoder to the memory, the information packet being stored in the memory to be available to the backup server if the local server fails (taking over session as the original server fails, see abstract, figs.1, 5, col.3 lines 11-44 and col.7 line 53 to col.8 line 51 and col.9 line 46 to col.10 line 17);

a request coupler associated with the NAS for coupling the call to the local server if the local server does not fail, and for coupling to the backup server if the local server fails and a

Page 7

failure detector for determining if a failure of the local server has occurred (see col.9 line 46 to col.10 line 17);

an information packet requester (client's requests) for requesting the information packet from the memory to the backup server if the local server fails and a parser for reconstructing the information packet and serve the request without disconnecting the user from the network (reconstructing information regarding all necessary session resources, see col.10 lines 18-36).

Dixon does not specifically disclose that user placing a request by calling in. However, Lamarque discloses a user placing a request by calling in [using a user (122 fig.1) to initiate a call at a terminal to communicate with the servers and networks, see fig.1, col.3 line 22 to col.4 line 24]. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize Lamarque's teachings into the computer system of Dixon to request data information through a network because it would have enabled user to bypass long distance carriers and their permanent usage rates and to run voice traffic over the Internet (see Lamarque's col.1 lines 24-67).

Claims 14-16 are rejected for the same reasons set forth in claims 2-4 respectively.

Claims 18 and 19 are rejected for the same reasons set forth in claims 2 and 3 respectively.

Claim 20 is rejected for the same reasons set forth in claim 13. As to the added limitations, Dixon discloses a backup server connected to the network to service the call (see col.7 line 53 to col.8 line 63 and col.10 lines 4-41).

Art Unit: 2151

Claims 21-23 are rejected for the same reasons set forth in claims 2-4 respectively.

Claim 26 is rejected for the same reasons set forth in claim 2.

As to claim 30, Dixon discloses a server backup system for maintaining a request placed by a user to a network, said system comprising:

a first server connected to the network for servicing the call and a second network server connected to the network for servicing the call if t he first server fails and a network access server NAS for providing a network connection to a server, said NAS coupling a call to the first server if the first server does not fail and coupling the call to the second server if the first server fails (taking over session as the original server fails, see abstract, figs.1, 5, col.3 lines 11-44 and col.7 line 53 to col.8 line 51);

an encoder for generating an information packet associated with the request placed by a user via the NAS, information packet containing request information for maintaining connection of the request if the local server fails (see col.9 line 46 to col.10 line 17);

a sender for transmitting the information packet from said encoder to the memory associated with the NAS, the memory storing the information packet (see col.9 line 46 to col.10 line 17).

an information packet requester (client's requests) for requesting the information packet from the memory to the backup server if the local server fails and a parser for reconstructing the information packet (reconstructing information regarding all necessary session resources, see col.10 lines 18-36).

Page 9

Art Unit: 2151

Dixon does not specifically disclose that user placing a request by calling in. However, Lamarque discloses a user placing a request by calling in (using a user (122 fig.1) to initiate a call at a terminal to communicate with the servers and networks, see fig.1, col.3 line 22 to col.4 line 24). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize Lamarque's teachings into the computer system of Dixon to request data information through a network because it would have enabled user to bypass long distance carriers and their permanent usage rates and to run voice traffic over the Internet (see Lamarque's col.1 lines 24-67).

As to claim 31, Dixon discloses a data caller responsive to the failure detector for detecting the failure of the second server (see col.7 line 53 to col.8 line 51 and col.9 line 46 to col.10 line 17).

As to claim 52, Dixon further discloses said sender transmits the information packet in response to a request from the backup server (see fig.5, col.7 line 53 to col.8 line 51 and col.9 line 46 to col.10 line 41).

Claims 63 and 64 are rejected for the same reasons set forth in claims 13 and 2 respectively.

As to claims 65, Dixon further discloses petitioning to the NAS for the information packet after the NAS requests the request information and sending the request information to the NAS after completing reconstructing (see fig.5, col.7 line 53 to col.8 line 51 and col.9 line 46 to col.10 line 41).

Art Unit: 2151

Claim 66 is rejected for the same reasons set forth in claim 9.

As to claims 67 and 75, Dixon further discloses encoding a plurality of aggregated data elements from a call attribute table representing the SSA data and delimiting information packet into an attribute data string and a value data string (see fig.5, col.7 line 53 to col.8 line 51 and col.9 line 46 to col.10 line 67).

Claims 68-74 are rejected for the same reasons set forth in claims 13, 17, 2, 1, 2, 65 and 9 respectively.

Claims 76-79 are rejected for the same reasons set forth in claims 17, 2, 1 and 2 respectively.

Claims 80-84 are rejected for the same reasons set forth in claims 65, 9, 2, 17 and 2 respectively.

As to claims 85, 87-91, Dixon further discloses at least one of: Dialed Number Information Service, call type, calling Line Identification and service accounting information (see col.3 line 46 to col.4 line 47 and col.7 line 53 to col.8 line 63).

4. Claims 24 and 32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dixon and Lamarque as applied to claims 20-23 and 30-31 above, and further in view of Cisco System (hereafter Cisco), Network Wide Solution Manages Providers to Maximize Revenue from Dial VPN, April 5, 1999.

Application/Control Number: 09/452,285 Page 11

Art Unit: 2151

Neither Dixon nor Lamarque discloses using a Resource Pool Manager Server. However, Cisco discloses a Resource Pool Manager Server (see page 1). It would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to implement a Resource Pool Manager Server in the computer system of Dixon to enhance the functionality of access servers because it would have provided Internet Service Providers and Telecommunications carriers with a robust solution for managing concurrent dial network services across single or multiple network access servers.

Response to Arguments

- 5. Applicant's arguments filed 9/10/2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
 - Applicant asserts that the cited reference does not disclose a memory associated
 with the NAS capable of coupling a call placed from the call-in user to the data
 communications network and providing a network connection the local server.

Examiner respectfully disagrees. Dixon discloses the Applicant's claimed invention by showing a memory (allocating a memory space for the new application server) associated with a NAS capable of coupling a call placed from the call-in user to the data communications network and providing a network connection the local server (implementing the control server for sending a callback to the original application server to indicate that the session takeover has started, see col. 10 lines 4-36) as rejected above.

Art Unit: 2151

• Applicant further asserts that the references fail to provide motivation to combine the references.

In response to applicant's argument that there is failure or no suggestion to combine the references, the examiner recognizes that obviousness can only be established by combining or modifying the teachings of the prior art to produce the claimed invention where there is some teaching, suggestion, or motivation to do so found either in the references themselves or in the knowledge generally available to one of ordinary skill in the art. See In re Fine, 837 F.2d 1071, 5 USPQ2d 1596 (Fed. Cir. 1988) and In re Jones, 958 F.2d 347, 21 USPQ2d 1941 (Fed. Cir. 1992). In this case, it would have enabled user to bypass long distance carriers and their permanent usage rates and to run voice traffic over the Internet (see Lamarque's col.1 lines 24-67) as rejected above.

As a result, cited prior art does disclose a server backup system for maintaining a request placed by a user to a network, as broadly claimed by the Applicants. Applicants clearly have still failed to identify specific claim limitations that would define a clearly patentable distinction over prior art.

Page 13

Art Unit: 2151

Application/Control Number: 09/452,285

Conclusion

Claims 1-4, 9-24, 26, 30-32, 52, 63-85 and 87-91 are rejected. 6.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the 7.

examiner should be directed to Khanh Dinh whose telephone number is (571) 272-3936. The

examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:00 A.m. to 5:00 P.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Zarni Maung, can be reached on (571) 272-3939. The fax phone number for this

group is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private

PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner for patents P O Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

KHANH DINH PRIMARY EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

Khart Bonk